Core Document 7.11: Objector No.11 objection letter from Colin and Christine Turton

Timothy Neergaard-Tedder

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Jonathan Scott < 2020 14:24 Sheldene Harris FW: Bristol Airport CPOs and proposed upgrade to Dual carriageway- Objection for the attention of Grant Shapps

Jonathan Scott | Correspondence Manager, , Department for Transport 5/11 |

From: DFT Ministers

Sent: 15 October 2020 13:16

To: Jonathan Scott <

Subject: FW: Bristol Airport CPOs and proposed upgrade to Dual carriageway- Objection for the attention of Grant Shapps

 $\operatorname{MC}\operatorname{SofS}-\operatorname{Aviation}\operatorname{AP}$ and Jason Richardson is taking them

А

Anne Broome | Private Office Business Manager, Private Office, Department for Transport

5/11 GMH | Please ring my desk phone first

From: DOUGLAS, Ione [

Sent: 15 October 2020 12:12

To: DFT Ministers <

Subject: FW: Bristol Airport CPOs and proposed upgrade to Dual carriageway- Objection for the attention of Grant Shapps

Dear Grant

I see that there is a new Petition against the expansion of Bristol Airport. My constituents have asked that their objections be forwarded to you.

Yours sincerely

LIAM

Parliamentary Office of the Rt Hon Dr Liam Fox MP

House of Commons, London SW1A 0AA To view our Data Privacy Notice, please see <u>www.liamfox.co.uk/privacy-notice</u>

From: Colin & Christine Turton <

Sent: 15 October 202<u>0 12:04</u>

To: DOUGLAS, lone <

Subject: Bristol Airport CPOs and proposed upgrade to Dual carriageway- Objection for the attention of Grant Shapps

Please forward to Mr Grant Shapps :-

Bristol Airport issues 22 Compulsory Purchase Orders to create dual carriageway.

Dear Mr Shapps,

I am a local resident of Downside Road near Bristol Airport. I understand that The Airport has submitted an application to Compulsory Purchase 22 properties/pieces of Land to support their proposed expansion to you. The expansion plan was rejected in February.

I object to this application. I hope you will be able to consider my views as the Airport has considerable funding to increase its business operation in our Rural Area, destroying Green Belt, the environment and making the narrow country roads a nightmare and little account is taken of local views and the people which are impacted.

Basis of Objection:-

- Significant destruction of green belt/green area and wildlife habitat
- Erosion of the natural beauty of the area and more industrialisation of green space by adding tarmac and solid infrastructure onto natural land..
- Does nothing to improve the significant volume of traffic/noise/pollution of the Downside Road area and East/West feeder roads- will make these problems worse.
- The new exit of the Airport Tavern onto Downside Road will bring added danger to what is a high volume narrow road as large volumes of cars parking at the Tavern will use the new exit 24/7 entering the road at the same point as the traffic build up for the junction.
- The proposals will further damage the peace and devalue the use of the Village Hall when the proposed dual carriage way forges past in close proximity.
- The high volume of cars which hover and park temporarily around the airport entrance will move off into the lanes and laybys locally, including Downside Road and the area around the village hall and church-spoiling, blocking and adding danger and further eyesore to this area.
- There are no agreed or supported planning applications which currently require this level of increased property destruction enforcement as a result of further airport expansion.
- Negative impact on climate change by destruction of green space substituted by tarmac and concrete (this in addition to the high level of 'permitted development) already completed in the area by the airport.
- Arrogant assumption by the airport that their rejected expansion plans will be approved so they can
 proceed at speed at the very time the whole world is against expansion of industries which have a negative
 impact on our carbon footprint.

• The application for 22CPOs is not in the interests of the general public/local area for the reason stated above and is only in the interest of Bristol Airport's own plan to expand. It will destroy green areas and property, increase nuisance light and noise pollution.

I and my wife Christine wholehearted object to this application.



UK Parliament Disclaimer: this e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail address is not secure, is not encrypted and should not be used for sensitive data.

This email has originated from external sources and has been scanned by DfT's email scanning service.